

City of Cleveland
Mayor's Office of Capital Projects
Division of Engineering and Construction

East 152nd Street Rehabilitation

ADDENDUM #1 (Final)

May 24, 2015

Questions that were raised for clarifications:

Question #1:

Regarding the 30% CSB goal for the above-referenced project, if the prime consultant is considered a CSB, are we permitted to count our participation in this goal? Or, should we seek to only include other firms on our team to fulfill this requirement?

Answer:

CSB goal applies to the sub-consultants. Prime Consultant is not counted towards the goal.

Question #2:

Can the City explain the composition of the 30% CSB goal?

Answer:

A minimum of 30% CSB should comprise the aggregate value of East 152nd Street services. CSB classified firms are those firms listed on the CSB, CSB/MBE and CSB/FBE registry.

Question #3:

Can the City verify the existing web location of CSB registry?

Answer:

The CSB registry location was changed to <https://cleveland.diversitycompliance.com>. Toggle on "Search the /MBS/FBE & Section 3 Registry" and enter a business description (e.g. engineering, architectural services, environmental)

Question #4:

Can the link for available information on page 4 of 44 be checked?

Answer:

The new link is the following:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/4vv30bywyitiwrk/AAD0qgHRcsHt_fxsoIuTHpTBa?dl=0

Question #5

What will be the depth below pavement surface for the new water line between Woodworth Road and St. Clair Avenue?

Answer:

The new waterlines will be designed in accordance with CWD horizontal and vertical requirements. Distribution mains (8" and 12") are usually 6-ft from pavement surface to top of waterline and supply mains (16" and above) are usually 5-feet from pavement surface to top of water main.

Question #6:

The scope indicates a Federal LPA template for the bid document. Will quantity splits be required?

Answer:

Funding is Issue 1 and City only. No quantity splits will be required for project. Separate categories will be required in the General Summary in accordance with ODOT L & D Manual Volume III. Excel spreadsheet will be required with Stage Estimates.

Question #7:

How many sets of plans and size of plans should be submitted to the City for Stage I, Stage II and PS&E reviews?

Answer:

The cost of printing all 11" x 17" drawings and contract documents shall be included in the Stage II services as a lump sum fee. All private and public agencies will require Stage I and Stage II, and Final plans: Division of Engineering and Construction, Design Section, Survey Section and Construction Section, Traffic Department, Division of Water Pollution Control, Water Department, Cleveland Public Power, NEORSD, Dominion East Ohio, CEI/First Energy, Adelphia, AT & T, Level 3 Communication and Time Warner.

Question #8:

Are all intersection curb returns to be investigated for improvement or only signalized intersections?

Answer:

Signalized intersections should be investigated for curb return improvement.

Question #9:

Are traffic counts being requested at the Fire Signal? It seems unnecessary if there is no warrant analysis being performed.

Answer:

Traffic counts are not required at the Fire Signal.

Question #10:

It appears that the existing Woodworth / N Noble traffic signal maybe coordinated with the Collamer Avenue signal. Is a mast arm design being requested for the Collamer Avenue signal which appears to be in East Cleveland?

Answer:

No.

Question #11:

Will review by the Ohio Department of Transportation be required for these plans?

Answer:

Select Maintenance of Traffic plans will be sent to ODOT for information purposes only in the vicinity of Interstate 90. If a limited access permit is required due to ramp restrictions, City will complete.

Question #12:

Is preparation of Design Exception Documents anticipated?

Answer:

There is no Federal funding in this project. Design exception documents are not required.

Question #13:

Page 7 - Section 5 – Project Description – F – states that “An environmental investigation will not be required...” but “The City’s general engineering contract will provide technical support to address environmental concerns”. If an environmental investigation is not required, who will identify environmental contingency quantities or environmental concerns?

Answer:

City will estimate environmental contingency quantities for inclusion in general notes that will be carried to general summary based on field review.

Question #14:

Page 7 - Section 5 – Project Description – H – states that “Consultant will not design the improvement to narrow or restrict travel lanes or to adversely affect the roadway Level of Service”. Page 7 - Section 5 – Project Description – J – states that “Designated bike lanes from Woodworth to St. Clair and sharrows from St. Clair to Waterloo are anticipated”. Section H and J contradict each other in that adding designated bike lanes will narrow the existing travel lanes. Please clarify, including what type of study or documentation will be required to justify the bike lanes, locations of bike lanes or study of Level of Service.

Answer:

Consultant will prepare concept pavement marking plan for bike lanes from Woodworth to St Clair and sharrows from St. Clair to Waterloo. A meeting will be held with Andy Cross, Traffic Engineering to discuss concept plan and signal head layout prior to any detail design. Level of Service study is not required.

Question #15:

Since it is not specifically noted in the RFP, please verify that there will be no work on the East 152nd St. Structure over CSX Railroad and the project will suspend and resume at the limits of the bridge structure.

Answer:

Project will suspend and resume at E 152nd Street over CSX but bridge is within work limits. Maintenance of traffic zones are anticipated as well as pavement marking and signing.

Question #16:

The RFP does not specifically mention Dominion East Ohio's 20 year main replacement program as it has done in the past on previous RFP's. Can it be assumed that East 152nd St. IS or IS NOT part of this program?

Answer:

Dominion East Ohio (DEO) Gas Company evaluates the program on an annual basis. They have not determined the capital program (if any) on East 152nd Street to date. Consultant coordination is required as indicated in the request for proposal.

Question #17:

Per the Project Description 5.L. and 5.S., PDF page 6&10/43, would this assume that Cleveland Public Power (CPP) will perform all lighting associated work on the project?

Answer:

Yes. CPP will perform power pole relocation and light pole relocation work.

Question #18:

Appendix A, 8.E.10 reads "existing mast arm". Is this just a reference to state what the existing signal installation is or to replace arms?

Answer:

Yes this is just a reference to the state of the existing signal installation.

Question #19:

Signal location #2 (Yorick Ave./Hartley Rd.) reads: 2. Yorick Ave. / Hartley Rd. (currently on flash, warrant/removal study needed). Should this be an "if authorized" signal fee in the proposal if the signal is found to be warranted?

Answer:

No. The signal is currently on flash and a warrant/removal study is needed.

Question #20

There was a document posted on Tuesday, March 3, 2015 called "01_E 152nd St (RFP) Final March 3, 2015" and another on Thursday, March 5, 2015 called "01a_E 152nd St (RFP) Final March 3, 2015". Which document is correct and what is the difference?

Answer:

The document called "01a_E 152nd St (RFP) Final March 3, 2015" is correct. The difference between the two documents is the fee summary table only.

Question #21:

Will written or verbal questions be accepted after 12:00pm on March 23, 2015?

Answer:

No.

Question #22:

Is the proposal due by 4:30pm on April 2, 2015 at City Hall, Room 518?

Answer:

Yes.

End Addendum #1: Final